1 (Case called)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: This is Judge Rakoff.

Would counsel, we'll begin with counsel for the government, please identify themselves.

MS. KUSHNER: Good afternoon, your Honor. Sarah Kushner, Stephanie Simon, and Alison Moe for the government.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

Would the defendant please identify himself.

THE DEFENDANT: Good afternoon, your Honor. Willie Dennis.

THE COURT: Good afternoon. And I understand there is advisory counsel also on the phone. Would be identify himself.

MR. CECUTTI: Good afternoon, your Honor. This is Anthony Cecutti.

THE COURT: Okay. So we have a number of matters to take up. But first, I want to advise everyone, and particularly the defendant since he's representing himself prose, that my individual rules will be strictly enforced.

Now, obviously Mr. Dennis probably is not familiar with my individual rules because he sent a number of emails to my chambers which my courtroom deputy responded to by advising him that I do not accept unsolicited emails.

But then, Mr. Dennis, you sent another email or two saying what you wanted to take up on this call. That is an unsolicited email. It is strictly forbidden. I appreciate

2.3

that you were trying to give me a heads-up as to what issues you wanted to raise, but I don't have any exceptions to my rules. So I'm going to ask you immediately after we get off the phone to read my individual rules so that you're fully familiar with them.

And the most important one is the first one. If you read the first paragraph, you'll see that I do not permit any form of written correspondence with the Court, except where I previously so ordered it. That includes letters, filings, emails, whatever.

And even when I send an email, as my individual rules indicate, that does not open the door to responsive emails. My emails would usually be simply informational, such as setting up this call.

So communications with the Court are strictly telephone calls that are joint telephone calls. I will never accept a telephone call where only one party is on the phone. And all of this is set forth in great detail in my individual rules.

So, Mr. Dennis, I'll ask you to familiarize yourself as soon as we get off the phone with my individual rules which are available on my website.

Understood?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. May I make one point with respect to your rules?

THE COURT: Sure.

2.3

THE DEFENDANT: The way that this transpired, my communication to you, was that there was a motion due on Friday ordered by Judge Schofield. I was not going to respond, and I was not going to say anything because your rules had said, do not file anything. Do not communicate. So I was going to wait until Wednesday.

It was upon the advice of my counsel, Mr. Cecutti, who said that I needed to respond and I needed to request an extension that day and I needed to continue to work on -- if I had further additional information by Monday. So it was not me.

THE COURT: That's fine. That's fine. Thank you for bringing that to my attention. So I think -- and I'll let Mr. Cecutti speak for himself in a minute.

I think he was concerned that nothing should be viewed as a waiver. So what he should have done, but he probably doesn't have great familiarity with my rules either, was to on Friday arrange a joint telephone conference.

By the way, the way these conferences are arranged normally are not by me. Either side who wants a telephone conference, as is all set forth in my rules, calls his or her adversary and says, I want to call the Court. And under my rules, the adversary has to make themselves available, worst case, within 24 hours but, in the normal course, immediately.

And I'm sure the government would have been happy to make themselves available, and we could have straightened this out through a telephone call on Friday.

Nevertheless, I understand Mr. Cecutti was probably trying to avoid any claim of waiver. The bottom line is your request for an extension is granted, and we'll discuss the specific dates in a few minutes, so no waiver has occurred.

Now, the next thing was that I understand from something my law clerk told me just before I got on the phone, that you, Mr. Dennis, wanted to replace Mr. Cecutti or fire Mr. Cecutti or something like that. Maybe you can elaborate on that.

THE DEFENDANT: Well, I think that where we start off with is that the advice that Mr. Cecutti gave me on Friday led to my reprimand today.

THE COURT: I didn't reprimand you. I explained to you the situation, and I was particularly forgiving because I was little taken aback that, after my courtroom deputy told you on Friday no emails, you still sent some emails saying what today's discussion was going to be about. But I accepted that and took it favorably to you because I thought you were just trying to give me a heads-up to what the conference would be about. Nevertheless, it was in direct contradiction to what my courtroom deputy had just emailed you.

That's all past history. None of that is in the form

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

of a reprimand. We're just getting on the right track now. Here are your choices, just so you know. In my court, the rules, including the rules of evidence, are strictly enforced.

So although you're a lawyer -- and I understand you're not a litigator -- Mr. Dennis, it seems to me you are asking for problems if you don't have Mr. Cecutti there to advise you because if you ask an improper question, I may sustain an objection.

And then in my hypothetical, if you follow it up with a further improper question, then I will not only sustain the objection but maybe say something in the way of a reprimand, and it's not going to be a happy experience if you don't know the rules of evidence, but maybe you do know the rules of evidence for all I know.

But my suggestion is that you would be better off with someone who can help you out in that regard. If you want to fire him, that is your right. But you then run the risk that if you don't abide by the rules of evidence or any other rules promulgated by the United States that govern trial proceeding, you run the risk of that will be often a reprimand.

Understood?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. I do understand.

Okay. So what's your preference? THE COURT:

THE DEFENDANT: Well, I guess my concern is I'm not sure if Mr. Cecutti understands the rules well enough to guide

2.3

me through this process. As I pointed out from Friday's conversation, I read the rules myself and got a different interpretation, and that concerned me.

That was not the only one. There was also a motion that I filed on July 13 with the Court, a motion to compel discovery, which Mr. Cecutti had recommended that I file. He recommended that I file two motions after our last hearing with the Court which was an application for the return of my phone and a motion to compel discovery.

After filing that motion to compel discovery, the judge issued an order telling me that I need to file my demand for discovery with the government first and then discuss it.

So I lost valuable time, and that was something I wouldn't have known but I would have expected Mr. Cecutti would have known.

So those are just two examples. There are more.

THE COURT: You should understand that your conversations with Mr. Cecutti, while you're free to tell me about them, are privileged. So you don't have to tell me about any of them, if you don't want to, because they are protected by attorney-client privilege.

But let me pause at this point and hear from Mr. Cecutti.

MR. CECUTTI: Your Honor, I don't know how much I can disclose because, as your Honor just pointed out, my communications with Mr. Dennis are protected communications. I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

will say I was appointed on June 28 as standby counsel with Judge Schofield.

Since then, everyday I have worked to try and assist Mr. Dennis, along with associate counsel who was also appointed. We have invested an enormous amount of time. We've offered, on countless occasions, to have phone calls with Mr. Dennis, including trial strategy and preparation. He has more or less refused those efforts.

We've even offered to fly to Florida and consult with him concerning preparation and trial strategy. He has refused that offering as well. So we're trying to assist Mr. Dennis as best we can, primarily over email, and there are certain limitations to that.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, may I respond to that? I --

THE COURT: Excuse me. I'm in charge of this conference, not you, Mr. Dennis.

So, Mr. Cecutti, with respect to my rules, are you familiar with my individual rules?

MR. CECUTTI: Yes. I've had a couple of other matters with your Honor, and I am familiar with them. I did stress the importance of the requirement that communications with your Honor must come by way of phone calls and by joint calls with Mr. Dennis.

I did emphasize my concerns with him that the motions

in limine date of August 12 was coming up and, if he was going
to request an extension, that he needed to do so, not by way of
email but by way of a joint phone call with chambers first.

And then upon which, if he was given clearance to make that
application in writing, he could do so. But that would only be
subject to your Honor's permission.

THE COURT: And with respect to the other matter that he raised a moment ago, apparently Judge Schofield felt there had not been a meet-and-confer with the government about the discovery request.

2.3

MR. CECUTTI: I don't quite understand the issue that Mr. Dennis has with respect to that. I'd have to refer back to the June 28 status conference transcript, but he had raised his belief that he was entitled to various discovery items and was given permission to make that application.

That application also included a *Brady* demand, and he subsequently made that demand. I was not involved in the drafting of it. He drafted the request, and he made the motion.

THE COURT: All right. Now, Mr. Dennis, you wanted to say something?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. I think, after hearing Mr. Cecutti's response, I don't really want to get into recriminations and an exchange of written documents that may contradict some of the things he just said.

What I'd like the Court to consider is that -- I would like to have counsel. I just think, particularly after the comment that Mr. Cecutti just made, that I would like the Court to consider appointing different standby counsel for me.

THE COURT: Well, I would consider that. But the problem with that is we have a trial date and new counsel of course would need some time to get up to speed.

But here's what I will do. I will arrange for our Wednesday conference, which is in court at 11:00 a.m., for there to be present Mr. Cecutti and also whoever is the CJA counsel appointed for that date.

And I will then inquire of that counsel whether he or she, in this limited role they're being asked to play, are able to nevertheless get up to submission speed, meet the trial schedule. And that will impact whether I appoint new counsel or not.

I have to say, Mr. Dennis, that from what I've heard so far, it sounds to me like Mr. Cecutti was giving you good advice, but it's not for me to say. It's for you to say, and it is your choice.

But what is not your choice is the trial date. And so we'll see what the availability is of whoever is the CJA counsel on Wednesday, and I'll make sure that that person is present.

Now, with respect to the other matters that you

3

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

raised, particularly the problems you're having with your

2 communication devices, I think that ought to be raised at some

length and in some detail at our conference on Wednesday.

4 So if you would please come prepared to detail 5 whatever your problems are, including any written evidence or

6 other exhibits you want to hand up. I'll be happy to see them.

Be sure to share any written exhibits or any form of exhibits

8 with your adversary at least 24 hours in advance of the next

conference. So I look forward to seeing you all very much on

10 Wednesday.

Is there anything else we need to take up today?

THE DEFENDANT: I think we've covered everything.

MS. KUSHNER: Your Honor, this is Sarah Kushner for the government. I just want to confirm that Wednesday's conference is in person before your Honor.

> THE COURT: Yes.

Now, Mr. Dennis, you are located currently in Florida?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am, your Honor.

So are you planning to come up here? THE COURT:

What's your plan in that regard?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. I will be there in court on Wednesday.

THE COURT: Excellent. Much appreciated. Okay. Real good. I think we're moving forward in the right direction, and I'll see you all on Wednesday at 11:00. Thanks very much.